

VIRTUAL PULLBACKS IN K -THEORY

F. QU

ABSTRACT. We consider virtual pullbacks in K -theory, and show that they are bivariant classes and satisfy certain functoriality. As applications to K -theoretic counting invariants, we include proofs of a virtual localization formula for schemes and a degeneration formula in Donaldson-Thomas theory.

INTRODUCTION

0.1. Virtual pullbacks were introduced and developed for Chow groups in [27], we work out parallel results for K_0 groups of coherent sheaves. K -theoretic virtual pullbacks also give rise to bivariant classes (cf. [3, Definition 4.1]) and satisfy functoriality. To prove these results, we follow the arguments in [27], [18], and [11].

As localization and degeneration techniques are fundamental in curve-counting theories, we also include proofs of a K -theoretic virtual localization formula for schemes and a degeneration formula in Donaldson-Thomas (DT) theory. These formulas are known and straightforward to prove given their cycle versions. For applications to K -theoretic computations, see e.g., [28].

0.2. We work over a field k , schemes and algebraic stacks are over k and (locally) of finite type.

0.3. The mechanism of virtual pullbacks is the same as that of Gysin pullbacks along regular embeddings.

Given a closed immersion between schemes $f: X \rightarrow Y$, we have a deformation space M_f° . It is a scheme flat over \mathbb{P}^1 and the diagram below is cartesian.

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} C_f & \xrightarrow{i} & M_f^\circ & \xleftarrow{j} & Y \times \mathbb{A}^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{\infty\} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^1 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{A}^1 \end{array}$$

Here C_f is the normal cone of f . (See [11, Chapter 5].)

When Y is of finite type, we can define deformation to the normal cone map

$$\sigma_f = i^* \circ j^{*-1} \circ \text{pr}^* : A(Y) \rightarrow A(C_f)$$

using the diagram

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} A(C_f) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & A(M_f^\circ) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & A(Y \times \mathbb{A}^1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow i^* & & \uparrow \text{pr}^* \\ & & A(C_f) & \xleftarrow{\sigma_f} & A(Y) \end{array}.$$

Here $A(\cdot)$ denotes the Chow group functor.

For any cartesian diagram

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y, \end{array}$$

we have a closed immersion $\iota: C_g \hookrightarrow C_f \times_X X'$. When f is a regular embedding, C_f is a vector bundle, and the map ι embeds C_g into a vector bundle over X' . Now we can define the Gysin pullback

$$g^!: A(Y') \xrightarrow{\sigma_g} A(C_g) \xrightarrow{\iota_*} A(C_f \times_X X') \longrightarrow A(X').$$

The last map $A(C_f \times_X X') \rightarrow A(X')$ is the Thom isomorphism.

Then the pullbacks $\{g^!: A(Y') \rightarrow A(X')\}$ defines a bivariant class and such classes further satisfy a functoriality. Recall a bivariant class ([11, Chapter 17]) for $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is given by a collection of maps $\{c_v: A(Y') \rightarrow A(X \times_Y Y')\}$ indexed by $v: Y' \rightarrow Y$, compatible with proper pushforwards, flat pull backs, and the functoriality is the statement that for a composition of regular embeddings

$$X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{j} Z,$$

we have $i^! \circ j^! = (j \circ i)^!$.

It is clear that to define the bivariant class $f^!$, the ingredients are deformation spaces, embeddings of normal cones into vector bundles, and a homology theory. As perfect obstruction theories induce embeddings of normal cones into vector bundle stacks, and deformation spaces and Chow groups are extended to Artin stacks by Kresch's work [19, 20], the above construction can be generalized.

More precisely, given a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between algebraic stacks of finite type over k such that $X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$ being unramified, we have an algebraic stack M_f° as in (1), with C_f being the intrinsic normal cone ([4]) for f .

We have deformation to the normal cone map

$$\sigma_f: A(Y) \rightarrow A(C_f).$$

Together with a closed embedding $\iota: C_f \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_f$ of C_f into a vector stack \mathfrak{E}_f , the virtual pullback

$$f^!: A(Y) \xrightarrow{\sigma_f} A(C_f) \xrightarrow{\iota_*} A(\mathfrak{E}_f) \longrightarrow A(X')$$

is introduced in [27].

Remark 0.1. A closed embedding $\iota: C_f \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_f$ corresponds to a perfect obstruction theory for f .

The functoriality of virtual pullbacks depends on compatibilities between perfect obstruction theories ([4, 27]). See Proposition 2.10 below for a precise statement.

0.4. In this note, instead of Chow groups, we work with K_0 groups of coherent sheaves. In Section 1, we recall relevant definitions including DM morphisms, perfect obstruction theories, and bivariant classes, and collect some results on K_0 groups of algebraic stacks and deformation spaces. Section 2 concerns virtual pullbacks. Bivariance follows from properties of the deformation space functor M° , while functoriality relies furthermore on [18, Proposition 1] and requires some efforts to prove. In Section 3, a localization formula for schemes is proved by the method of [5]. In Section 4, we indicate how arguments in [24, 26] lead to a degeneration formula in DT theory.

0.5. **Acknowledgements.** We would like to thank Q. Chen, A. Kresch, C. Manolache, P. Sun, J. Wise, W. Zheng for correspondence and/or conversations.

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1. **DM morphisms.** A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between algebraic stacks ([34, Tag 026O]) is DM ([34, Tag 04YW]) if $\Delta_f: X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$ is unramified. Then for any morphism $Z \rightarrow Y$ from an algebraic space Z , $X \times_Y Z$ is a DM stack ([34, 03YO]). In particular, when X is a DM stack, f is DM.

When f is DM, we can represent it as a map between groupoids (in algebraic spaces) $f_\bullet: X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ such that $f_0: X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ and $f_1: X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ are unramified. In fact, there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & Y_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

such that vertical arrows are smooth surjective and f_0 is a disjoint union of closed immersions between affine schemes (cf. [27, Lemma 2.27]). Then $f_1 = f_0 \times_f f_0$ is unramified, which is easy to see using the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_1 = X_0 \times_X X_0 & \longrightarrow & X_0 \times_Y X_0 & \longrightarrow & Y_1 = Y_0 \times_Y Y_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ X & \longrightarrow & X \times_Y X & & \end{array}$$

1.2. **Deformation spaces.** To each DM morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between algebraic stacks, we have a deformation space M_f° . It is a flat family over \mathbb{P}^1 whose fiber over $\{\infty\}$ is the intrinsic normal C_f , and over $\mathbb{A}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{\infty\}$, it is isomorphic to the product $Y \times \mathbb{A}^1$.

For a closed immersion between schemes, M_f° is constructed in [11, Chapter 5]. In general, M_f° is constructed by descent ([19, 20, 18]). First, the construction of M° as algebraic spaces for unramified morphisms between algebraic spaces is

achieved by using étale groupoids in schemes, as unramified morphisms are étale locally immersions. In general, we can represent f as a map between groupoids $f_\bullet: X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$, such that f_0, f_1 are unramified, then M_f° is the stack associated to the smooth groupoid $M_{f_1}^\circ \rightrightarrows M_{f_0}^\circ$.

Lemma 1.1. *Given a DM morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$. M_f° is quasi-compact, quasi-separated (qcqs) if and only if X and Y both are.*

Proof. We will apply results in [34, Tag 075S] implicitly numerous times in this proof.

For the if direction, as X is quasi-compact, Y is qcqs, we can represent f as a morphism between groupoids $f_\bullet: X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ such that $X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ is a closed immersion between affine schemes. Then $M_{f_0}^\circ$ is qcqs, and M_f° is quasi-compact.

As X and Y are qcqs, X_0 and Y_0 are affine, we see that X_1 and Y_1 are qcqs algebraic spaces.

Assume $M_{f_1}^\circ$ is qcqs for the moment, as $M_{f_0}^\circ$ is qcqs, $M_{f_1}^\circ \rightarrow M_{f_0}^\circ \times M_{f_0}^\circ$ is qcqs, it follows that M_f° is quasi-separated. To show that $M_{f_1}^\circ$ is qcqs, represent it as a étale groupoid of immersions, and run the argument above again.

For the only if direction, if M_f° is qcqs, then $M_f^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is qcqs, so its fibers C_f and Y are qcqs. As the zero section of a cone stack is qcqs, $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ as the composition of $X \rightarrow C_f$ and $C_f \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is qcqs.

□

Proposition 1.2 (cf. [27, Theorem 2.31]). *Given a cartesian diagram of algebraic stacks*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

such that f is DM, there is an induced map $M_g^\circ \rightarrow M_f^\circ$ over $Y' \rightarrow Y$. $M_g^\circ \rightarrow M_f^\circ \times_Y Y'$ is an isomorphism when ν is flat, and a closed immersion in general.

Remark 1.3. The proposition is not hard to show assuming the construction of M_f° is independent of groupoid presentations of f .

Presumably, the deformation space construction gives rise to a functor M° from the $(2,1)$ -category of morphisms between algebraic stacks to the $(3,1)$ -category of algebraic 2-stacks, and M_f° is a 1-stack when f is DM. Assuming the expected properties of M° , one can introduce virtual pullbacks for Artin stacks involving 2-stacks. If we truncate 2-stacks to 1-stacks (i.e., taking $\pi_{\leq 1}$), then we have the version of virtual pullbacks in [31], which is similar to working with obstruction sheaves instead of vector bundle stacks. We hope to address these matters in [32].

1.3. Grothendieck groups of coherent sheaves.

1.3.1. We will use $K_0(-)$ to denote the Grothendieck group of an abelian category or a triangulated category. Recall the Grothendieck group of an abelian category \mathcal{A} is the abelian group generated by symbols $[a]$ for each object a in \mathcal{A} modulo relations generated by

$$[a] = [a'] + [a'']$$

for each exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow a' \rightarrow a \rightarrow a'' \rightarrow 0.$$

The Grothendieck group of a triangulated category D is defined similarly, it is the abelian group generated by $[x]$ for objects x in D and relations

$$[x] = [x'] + [x'']$$

for each distinguished triangle $x' \rightarrow x \rightarrow x''$.

Let D be a triangulated category with a t -structure, \mathcal{A}_D its heart. D^b the full subcategory of D consisting of bounded objects, i.e., $x \in D$ such that $H^n(x) = 0$ for $|n| > 0$, here $H^n = \tau_{\leq n} \tau_{> n}$: $D \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_D$. Note that there is an isomorphism

$$K_0(D^b) \simeq K_0(\mathcal{A}_D)$$

given by

$$[x] \mapsto \sum (-1)^i [H^i(x)].$$

If we have a triangulated functor $\mathcal{F}: D \rightarrow E$ such that $\mathcal{F}(D^b) \subset \mathcal{F}(E^b)$, then we have an induced functor $K_0(D^b) \rightarrow K_0(E^b)$, or equivalently a functor $K_0(\mathcal{A}_D) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{A}_E)$.

1.3.2. For an algebraic stack X locally of finite type over k , denote by $K_0(X) = K_0(\text{Coh}(X))$, where $\text{Coh}(X)$ is the abelian category of coherent sheaves on X .

Remark 1.4. (Quasi) Coherent sheaves can be defined using the lisse-étale site of X as in [29, Definition 6.1]. See, e.g., [16, Section 1], for a summary of quasi-coherent sheaves on algebraic stacks.

As maps between K_0 groups are induced by derived functors, it is more flexible to think of $K_0(X)$ as $K_0(D_{\text{coh}}^b(X))$, here $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ is the full subcategory of the derived category of \mathcal{O}_X -modules with coherent cohomology.

For a flat morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$, we have the pullback $f^*: \text{Coh}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(X)$, since its exact by the flatness assumption, we have an induced map $f^!: K_0(Y) \rightarrow K_0(X)$.

For a proper map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, we have $R^i f_* F \in \text{Coh}(Y)$ for any coherent sheaf F on X and each $i \geq 0$ by [30, Theorem 1.2], [10, Theorem 1]. Therefore the map

$$Rf_*: D_{\text{qcoh}}^+(X) \rightarrow D_{\text{qcoh}}^+(Y)$$

induces

$$Rf_*: D_{\text{coh}}^b(X) \rightarrow D_{\text{coh}}^b(Y).$$

If Rf_* satisfies

$$(\dagger) \quad Rf_*(D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)) \subset D_{\text{coh}}^b(Y),$$

then we can define $f_*: K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(Y)$ by

$$[F] \mapsto \sum_n (-1)^n [R^n f_* F].$$

Because of the condition on the pushforward map above, we decided to consider pushforwards only along proper DM maps for simplicity.

Remark 1.5. It is easy to see (\dagger) is the same as the condition

$$Rf_*(\mathrm{Coh}(X)) \subset D_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(Y).$$

Two related notions are ‘of finite cohomological dimension’ ([16, Definition 2.3]), which requires $Rf_*(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X)) \subset D_{\mathrm{qcoh}}^{\leq n}(Y)$ for some n , and concentrated ([16, Definitino 2.4]), which is similar to being universally of finite cohomological dimension. Obviously, a proper map of finite cohomological dimension satisfies (\dagger) .

If f is proper DM, then it is concentrated, in particular, satisfies (\dagger) , this follows from [15, Theorem 2.1], or one can employ coarse moduli spaces.

$K_0(-)$ is covariant with respect to proper DM morphisms, contravariant with respect to flat morphisms. Proper pushforwards commute with flat pullbacks by, e.g., [16, Lemma 1.2 (4)].

Remark 1.6. Covariance and contravariance are interpreted with respect to the homotopy category of stacks, as it is easy to see that the flat pullback $f^!$ or the proper pushforward f_* only depends on the homotopy class of f .

Let X be an algebraic stack, quasi compact and quasi-separated¹, locally of finite type over k , and Z an closed substack of X with complement U , then we have the localization sequence

$$K_0(Z) \rightarrow K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(U) \rightarrow 0.$$

This can be proved as if X is a Noetherian scheme using [21, Proposition 15.4].

For a morphism $i: X \rightarrow Y$ that is smooth locally a regular closed immersion between schemes, we have a Gysin pullback $i^!$. Given a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & Y' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

$i^!: K_0(Y') \rightarrow K_0(X')$ is given by

$$i^![G] = \sum_n (-1)^n \mathrm{Tor}_n^Y(G, \mathcal{O}_X),$$

where $\mathrm{Tor}_n^Y(G, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is the tor sheaf. Note that $i^!: K_0(Y) \rightarrow K_0(X)$ is given by $Li^*: D_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(Y) \rightarrow D_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(X)$.

In particular, for the zero section of a vector bundle stack, we have a Gysin pullback.

Remark 1.7. For a summary of Tor sheaves, see, e.g., [3, 3.1, 3.2]. To extend results proved for tor sheaves on schemes to algebraic stacks, we note that the formation of *Tor* behaves well under flat maps in X , Y , and Z .

Gysin pullbacks commute with proper pushforwards and flat pullbacks ([3, Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.3]).

When $i: X \rightarrow Y$ is represented by a regular closed immersion, we have

$$i^!i_*[F] = [F] \otimes \Lambda_{-1}(N_i^\vee): K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(Y),$$

where N_i is the normal bundle of i .

¹The map $X \rightarrow X \times X$ is quasi-compact and quasi-separated.

For F a coherent sheaf on X and G a coherent sheaf on Y , denote by $F \boxtimes G$ the sheaf $\mathrm{pr}_X^* F \otimes \mathrm{pr}_Y^* G$ on $X \times Y$. As the projection maps $\mathrm{pr}_X, \mathrm{pr}_Y$ are flat, we have an induced map

$$\boxtimes: K_0(X) \times K_0(Y) \rightarrow K_0(X \times Y).$$

1.4. Bivariant classes. The notion of an operational bivariant class for a representable map between quotient stacks is introduced in [3]. It is straightforward to adapt the definition there to algebraic stacks in general.

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map between algebraic stacks, we have a group $\mathrm{op}K^0(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ of bivariant classes. A bivariant class c in $\mathrm{op}K^0(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ is given by a collection of maps

$$c_\nu: K_0(Y') \rightarrow K_0(X \times_Y Y')$$

indexed by $\nu: Y' \rightarrow Y$. These maps should commute with proper DM pushforwards, flat pullbacks, and Gysin pullbacks.

Remark 1.8. Proper DM pushforwards are not too restrictive, considering pushforwards in Chow groups (with rational coefficients) are defined only for proper DM morphisms.

1.5. Perfect obstruction theories. Given a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between algebraic stacks, denote by $\mathbb{L}_f \in D_{\mathrm{qcoh}}^{\leq 1}(X)$ the cotangent complex of f . Here $D_{\mathrm{qcoh}}(X)$ is the full subcategory of the derived category of \mathcal{O}_X -modules (on the lisse-étale site of X) with quasi-coherent cohomology sheaves. Cotangent complexes for algebraic stacks behave the same as those for schemes ([1, 2.4]).

An obstruction theory for f is given by a map $\phi: E^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_f$ in $D_{\mathrm{qcoh}}(X)$ such that $h^1(\phi), h^0(\phi)$ are isomorphisms, $h^{-1}(\phi)$ is surjective. If E^\bullet is a perfect complex of tor amplitude $[-1, 1]$, then it is called a perfect obstruction theory (POT) ([31, Definition 3.1]).

When f is DM, $\mathbb{L}_f \in D_{\mathrm{qcoh}}^{\leq 0}(X)$, and a perfect obstruction theory $\phi: E^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_f$ induced a closed embedding $C_f \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{E}_f$ between cone stacks, where $\mathfrak{E}_f = h^1/h^0(E^{\bullet\vee})$ ([4, 31]), and any such imbedding corresponds to some POT. So a POT can be viewed either as some map in the derived category or an embedding of the intrinsic normal cone into some vector bundle stack. We will switch between these two viewpoints freely.

For a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y' \\ \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

a POT $E^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_f$ induces a POT $\mu^* E^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_g$ for g , it is given by the composition

$$\mu^* E^\bullet \rightarrow \mu^* \mathbb{L}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_g.$$

The induced embedding of C_g is given by the composition

$$C_g \hookrightarrow C_f \times_X X' \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{E}_f \times_X X'.$$

2. VIRTUAL PULLBACKS

In this section, all stacks are of finite type and quasi-separated over k .

2.1. Deformation to the normal cone map. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a DM morphism between algebraic stacks. As M_f° is qcqs by Lemma 1.1, we have a localization sequence to define the deformation to the normal cone map

$$\sigma_f = i^* \circ j^{*-1} \circ \text{pr}^*: K_0(Y) \rightarrow K_0(C_f)$$

using K -theoretic version of (2) (cf. [11, page 352]).

Proposition 2.1. *Consider a cartesian diagram between algebraic stacks*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y, \end{array}$$

where f is DM. Let $\xi: C_g \rightarrow C_f$ be the induced map between cone stacks.

(1) if ν is proper DM, then

$$\xi_* \circ \sigma_g = \sigma_f \circ \nu_*: K_0(Y') \rightarrow K_0(C_f).$$

(2) if ν is flat, then ξ is flat, and

$$\sigma_g \circ \nu^! = \xi^! \circ \sigma_f: K_0(Y) \rightarrow K_0(C_g).$$

Proof. We treat the proper pushforward case, the flat pullback case is similar and easier.

As $Y' \rightarrow Y$ is proper DM, so is $M_g^\circ \rightarrow M_f^\circ$, since it is the composition of a closed immersion $M_g^\circ \rightarrow M_f^\circ \times_{Y'} Y$ by Proposition 1.2, and proper DM map $M_f^\circ \times_{Y'} Y \rightarrow M_f^\circ$. The map $M_g^\circ \rightarrow M_f^\circ$ induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K_0(C_g) & \longrightarrow & K_0(M_g^\circ) & \longrightarrow & K_0(Y' \times \mathbb{A}^1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \xi_* & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ K_0(C_f) & \longrightarrow & K_0(M_f^\circ) & \longrightarrow & K_0(Y \times \mathbb{A}^1) & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where horizontal arrows are localization sequences, and vertical arrows are proper pushforwards. Using the commutativity between flat pullbacks, proper pushforwards, and Gysin pullbacks, a diagram chase gives the result of (1). \square

2.2. Virtual pullbacks.

Definition 2.2 (cf. [27, Definition 3.7]). Assume f is DM, a perfect obstruction theory (POT) $\phi: E^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_f^\bullet$ for $f: X \rightarrow Y$ gives rise to a closed immersion $\iota: C_f \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{E}_f$, where $\mathfrak{E}_f = h^1/h^0(E^{\bullet\vee})$. Using this immersion, we can define a virtual pullback

$$f^!: K_0(Y) \rightarrow K_0(X)$$

as the composition:

$$K_0(Y) \xrightarrow{\sigma_f} K_0(C_f) \xrightarrow{\iota_*} K_0(\mathfrak{E}_f) \xrightarrow{s^!} K_0(X),$$

where s is the zero section of \mathfrak{E}_f , $s^!$ its Gysin pullback.

The virtual structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_ϕ is defined as $f^!(\mathcal{O}_Y)$.

2.3. Bivariance. Consider a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y' \\ \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y, \end{array}$$

a POT $E^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_f$ induces a POT $\mu^* E^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_g$ for g , so we have a map

$$g^! : K(Y') \rightarrow K(X').$$

We will show that the collection of maps $g^! : K(Y') \rightarrow K(X')$ for each $Y' \rightarrow Y$ defines a bivariant class, denoted by $f^! \in \text{op}K^0(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$.

Proposition 2.3 (cf. [11, Example 17.6.4]). *$f^!$ commutes with proper DM pushforwards and flat pullbacks.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.1. □

Proposition 2.4 (Commutativity). *Given a cartesian diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & Y' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

such that we have defined virtual pullback $f^!, \nu^!$, then $f^! \circ \nu^! = \nu^! \circ f^!$.

Proof. It is enough to show

$$f^! \circ \nu^! = \nu^! \circ f^! : K_0(Y) \rightarrow K_0(X').$$

Consider the cartesian diagram

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} C_f \times_Y C_v & \longrightarrow & g^* C_v & \xrightarrow{h} & C_v \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mu^* C_f & \longrightarrow & X' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y' \\ \downarrow \tilde{\xi} & & \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \nu \\ C_f & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y, \end{array}$$

Unravel the definition, it is easy to see that $\nu^! \circ f^!$ is the composition of σ_f , $\sigma_{\tilde{\xi}}$ pushforward along $C_{\tilde{\xi}} \hookrightarrow C_f \times_Y C_v \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{E}_f \times_Y \mathfrak{E}_v$, and Gysin pullback along $X' \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_f \times_Y \mathfrak{E}_v$.

Consider the double deformation space $\pi : M_f \times_Y M_v \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and principal cartier divisor D, E on $M_f \times_Y M_v$ correspondes to $\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\}$. Given a coherent sheaf F on Y , pullback it to $\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^2) = Y \times \mathbb{A}^2$, then extends to \tilde{F} on $M_f \times_Y M_v$, one can check that the pushforward of $\sigma_{\tilde{\xi}} \circ \sigma_f([F])$ along $C_{\tilde{\xi}} \hookrightarrow C_f \times_Y C_v$ is given by $i_E^! \circ i_D^!([\tilde{F}])$ in $K(C_f \times_Y C_v)$.

Now we see that proposition is a consequence of $i_D^! \circ i_E^! = i_E^! \circ i_D^!$.

□

Theorem 2.5. *Virtual pullbacks are bivariant classes.*

Proof. Since we have proved virtual pullbacks commute with proper DM pushforwards, flat pullbacks, and Gysin pullbacks, they are bivariant classes. □

Remark 2.6. In fact, as a virtual pullback is determined by flat pullbacks, proper pushforwards and Gysin pullbacks, one can show that virtual pullbacks commute with bivariant classes. In particular, they commute with refined Gysin maps (See e.g., [3, Section 3])

2.4. Functoriality. We will need the local description of deformation spaces. Consider $f: \text{Spec}(A/I) \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$, recall M_f° over $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0\}$ is given by the $k[T]$ algebra

$$A[T] \oplus \bigoplus_{n>0} \frac{I^n}{T^n} \subset A[T, T^{-1}],$$

and we see that over $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0\} - \{\infty\}$ where $\{\infty\}$ is the point $T = 0$, we get the $k[T, T^{-1}]$ algebra $A[T, T^{-1}]$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let C be a cone stack over an algebraic stack Y , and $s: Y \rightarrow C$ the zero section, then the deformation space M_s° is given by the associated C bundle over \mathbb{P}^1 of the principal G_m bundle over \mathbb{P}^1 determined by $\mathcal{O}(-1)$. Here the G_m action on C is induced by the multiplicative action of \mathbb{A}^1 on C as a cone stack. In particular, the intrinsic normal cone C_s is isomorphism to C , and $\sigma_s: K_0(C) \rightarrow K_0(C_s)$ is the identity.*

Proof. First consider the case when Y is a scheme and C is a cone. Locally Y is given by an affine scheme $\text{Spec } A$ and $C = \text{Spec } S_\bullet$, where S_\bullet is an A algebra generated over $S_0 = A$ by S_1 . As $Y \rightarrow C$ is given by the ideal $S_+ = \bigoplus_{n>0} S_n$, M_s° over $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0\}$ is given by

$$S_\bullet[T] \oplus \bigoplus_{n>0} \frac{S_+^n}{T^n} = (\bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \frac{S_d}{T^d})[T].$$

There is an isomorphism

$$(5) \quad S_\bullet[T] \simeq (\bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \frac{S_d}{T^d})[T]$$

functorial in S_\bullet , which maps any element x in S_d to $\frac{x}{T^d}$, and T to T .

Consider the isomorphism (5) over $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0\} - \{\infty\}$, the right hand side is isomorphic to $S_\bullet[T, T^{-1}]$ via

$$S_\bullet[T] \oplus \bigoplus_{n>0} \frac{S_+^n}{T^n} \subset S_\bullet[T, T^{-1}]$$

and (5) induces

$$S_\bullet[T, T^{-1}] \simeq S_\bullet[T, T^{-1}]$$

that corresponds to the isomorphism

$$C \times \mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\} \rightarrow C \times \mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}$$

that maps (a, λ) to $(\lambda^{-1}a, \lambda)$. Now we see M_s° is the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \times \mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\} & \xrightarrow{(a, t^{-1}) \mapsto (a, t^{-1})} & C \times \mathbb{P}^1 - \{\infty\} \\ \downarrow (a, t^{-1}) \mapsto (t^{-1}a, t) & & \\ C \times \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0\} & & . \end{array}$$

Here t is the coordinate on $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0\}$.

The map $M_s^\circ \rightarrow C$ is given by projection to C over $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{\infty\}$, and $(b, t) \mapsto bt$ over $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0\}$.

By the functorial nature of these identifications and the descent construction of deformation spaces, we see that the lemma works for Y an algebraic space and C a cone over Y . In general, first choose a smooth cover of Y by a scheme $U \rightarrow Y$, such that $C \times_Y U$ has a global presentation $[D/E]$ as a cone stack, then s is represented by the groupoid

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \times_Y U & \longrightarrow & D \times_C D \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ U & \longrightarrow & D, \end{array}$$

and we are back to the case for cones over algebraic spaces.

To see that σ_s is the identity, note that over $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0\}$, M_f° is given by $C \times \mathbb{A}^1$, and the pullback to C via $\{t\} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ is independent of t . □

Remark 2.8. The proof also shows that given a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{s} & C \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \xi \\ X' & \xrightarrow{s'} & C' \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are zero sections of cone stacks, and ξ is equivariant with respect to their \mathbb{A}^1 action, the induced map $M_s^\circ \rightarrow M_{s'}^\circ$ over \mathbb{P}^1 is given fiberwise by $\xi: C \rightarrow C'$.

Lemma 2.9. *Given a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, a stack $\pi: C \rightarrow Y$ over Y with a section $s: Y \rightarrow C$.*

(1) *The triangle*

$$f^* \mathbb{L}_s \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{s \circ f} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_f$$

associated to $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow C$ is isomorphic to

$$f^* \mathbb{L}_s \longrightarrow f^* \mathbb{L}_s \oplus \mathbb{L}_f \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_f ,$$

(2) *we have an induced closed immersion*

$$C_{s \circ f} \rightarrow C_f \times_X f^* C_s.$$

(3) *Assume $E_f \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_f$ (resp. $E_s \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_s$) is a POT for f (resp. s). Then we can construct a compatible triple*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
f^*E_s^\bullet & \longrightarrow & f^*E_s^\bullet \oplus E_f^\bullet & \longrightarrow & E_f^\bullet \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
f^*\mathbb{L}_s & \longrightarrow & f^*\mathbb{L}_s \oplus \mathbb{L}_f \simeq \mathbb{L}_{sof} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_f,
\end{array}$$

Let $\mathfrak{E}_f(\mathfrak{E}_s)$ be $h^1/h^0(E_f^{\bullet\vee})$ ($h^1/h^0(E_s^{\bullet\vee})$), then the induced closed immersion

$$C_{sof} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{E}_f \times_X f^*\mathfrak{E}_s$$

from the middle vertical arrow is given by the composition

$$C_{sof} \hookrightarrow C_f \times_X f^*C_s \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{E}_f \times_X f^*\mathfrak{E}_s.$$

Proof. (1) The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & X \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow sof & & \downarrow f \\
Y & \xrightarrow{s} & C & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Y
\end{array}$$

induces $\mathbb{L}_f \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{L}_{sof} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_f$. It is then easy to check the following two triangles are isomorphic:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
f^*\mathbb{L}_s & \longrightarrow & f^*\mathbb{L}_s \oplus \mathbb{L}_f & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_f \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
f^*\mathbb{L}_s & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{sof} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_f.
\end{array}$$

(2) The isomorphism $L_{sof} \simeq f^*\mathbb{L}_s \oplus \mathbb{L}_f$ induces an isomorphism between intrinsic normal sheaves

$$N_{sof} \simeq N_f \times_X f^*N_s.$$

The map $C_{sof} \rightarrow C_f \times_X f^*C_s$ is determined by $C_{sof} \rightarrow C_f$ and $C_{sof} \rightarrow f^*C_s$, they are induced by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \longrightarrow & X \\
\downarrow sof & & \downarrow f \\
C & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Y,
\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
\downarrow sof & & \downarrow s \\
C & \longrightarrow & C.
\end{array}$$

Thus we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{sof} & \longrightarrow & C_f \times_X f^*C_s \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
N_{sof} & \longrightarrow & N_f \times_X f^*N_s.
\end{array}$$

(3) follows from (2).

9

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} S.$$
$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f^*E_g^\bullet & \longrightarrow & E_h^\bullet & \longrightarrow & E_f^\bullet \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ f^*\mathbb{L}_g & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_h & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_f, \end{array}$$
$$h^! = f^! \circ g^!.$$

Step 1: It is enough to show $h^! = f^! \circ g^! : K_0(S) \rightarrow K_0(X)$, since the situation is identical under base change.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X \times \{\infty\} & \longrightarrow & X \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \longleftarrow & X \times \{0\} \\
\downarrow \textit{sof} & & \downarrow \textit{\scriptsize \mathscr{K}} & & \downarrow h \\
C_g & \longrightarrow & M_g^\circ & \longleftarrow & S \times \{0\} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\{\infty\} & \xrightarrow{i_\infty} & \mathbb{P}^1 & \xleftarrow{i_0} & \{0\}.
\end{array}$$
$$\varkappa! = (s \circ f)! = h!.$$

Then argue as in the proof of Theorem 6.5 in [11], we see $h^! = f^! \circ g^!$ follows from

$$f^! \circ s^! = (s \circ f)^!: K_0(C_g) \rightarrow K(X),$$

$$i_0^!(\mathcal{F}^\sim) = \mathcal{F}, \quad i_\infty^!(\mathcal{F}^\sim) = \sigma_g(\mathcal{F}).$$

Here $i_0^!$ and $i_\infty^!$ are Gysin pullbacks, $\sigma_g: K(S) \rightarrow K(C_g)$ is the map defined by deformation to the normal cone. As $g^!(\mathcal{F}) = s^!(\sigma_g(\mathcal{F}))$, if we assume $f^! \circ s^! = (s \circ f)^!$, then

$$f^!(g^!(\mathcal{F})) = f^! \circ s^!(\sigma_g(\mathcal{F})) = (s \circ f)^! \circ i_\infty^!(\mathcal{F}^\sim) = i_\infty^! \circ \mathcal{K}^!(\mathcal{F}^\sim).$$

Then

$$h^!(\mathcal{F}) = h^!(i_0^!(\mathcal{F}^\sim)) = i_0^! \circ \mathcal{K}^!(\mathcal{F}^\sim) = i_\infty^! \circ \mathcal{K}^!(\mathcal{F}^\sim).$$

Here we used $i_t^*: K_0(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow K_0(X)$ is independent of t . This follows from $i_t^* = (\text{pr}_X)_* \circ (i_t)_* \circ i_t^* = (\text{pr}_X)_* \circ c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))$.

As we have a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & C_g \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{E}_g \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are zero sections, we only need to prove functoriality for $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_g$, where the POT for $Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_g$ is given by the identification of its intrinsic normal cone with \mathfrak{E}_g , and the induced virtual pullback is the Gysin pullback.

Step 2: Abusing notation, we use $s: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_g$ to denote the zero section of \mathfrak{E}_g . Consider the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{s_X} & f^*\mathfrak{E}_g & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow F & & \downarrow f \\ Y & \xrightarrow{s} & \mathfrak{E}_g & \longrightarrow & Y. \end{array}$$

As $f^! \circ s^! = s_X^! \circ F^!$ by the commutativities of virtual pullbacks, $f^! \circ s^! = (s \circ f)^!$ follows from $s_X^! \circ F^! = (F \circ s_X)^!$, or the functoriality for $X \xrightarrow{s_X} f^*\mathfrak{E}_g \xrightarrow{F} \mathfrak{E}_g$. Here $s_X^!, F^!$ are induced from s, f by base change, and we need to check there is a compatible triple

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E_f^\bullet & \longrightarrow & E_f^\bullet \oplus f^*E_s^\bullet & \longrightarrow & f^*E_s^\bullet \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ s_X^*\mathbb{L}_F & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_f \oplus f^*\mathbb{L}_s & \simeq & \mathbb{L}_{s \circ f} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{s_X}, \end{array}$$

which follows from the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{L}_f & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_f \oplus f^*\mathbb{L}_s & \longrightarrow & f^*\mathbb{L}_s \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ s_X^*\mathbb{L}_F & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{s \circ f} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{s_X}. \end{array}$$

Step 3: By the arguments in Step 1, we see that $s_X^! \circ F^! = (F \circ s_X)^!$ follows from the functoriality for the map

$$X \longrightarrow f^*\mathfrak{E}_g \longrightarrow \mathfrak{E}_F$$

here $\mathfrak{E}_F \simeq f^*\mathfrak{E}_g \times_X \mathfrak{E}_f$ by our construction. Now functoriality means the Gysin pullback along $X \rightarrow f^*\mathfrak{E}_g \times_X \mathfrak{E}_f$ is the composition of Gysin pullbacks along $f^*\mathfrak{E}_g \rightarrow f^*\mathfrak{E}_g \times_X \mathfrak{E}_f$ and $X \rightarrow f^*\mathfrak{E}_g$, and this is known. \square

Remark 2.11. We recall the construction of $\varkappa^!$, which is determined by a closed embedding of $N_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1} M_Y^\circ S$ into some vector bundle stack.

Consider the following map between distinguished triangles over $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f^*E_g^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & f^*E_g^\bullet \oplus E_h^\bullet & \longrightarrow & c(\nu) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ f^*\mathbb{L}_g \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & f^*\mathbb{L}_g \oplus \mathbb{L}_h & \longrightarrow & c(\mu), \end{array}$$

where $c(\mu), c(\nu)$ are the mapping cones of μ, ν resp. μ is defined as the composition

$$f^*\mathbb{L}_g \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \xrightarrow{(T,U)} f^*\mathbb{L}_g \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \simeq f^*\mathbb{L}_g \oplus f^*\mathbb{L}_g \xrightarrow{(\text{id}, \text{can})} f^*\mathbb{L}_g \oplus \mathbb{L}_h.$$

Here T and U are homogeneous coordinates on \mathbb{P}^1 , can is the canonical map $f^*\mathbb{L}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_h$. The map ν is defined similarly.

It is easy to check $c(\nu)$ is a two term complex of vector bundles as there is a distinguished triangle

$$f^*E_g^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \rightarrow c(\nu) \rightarrow E_f^\bullet.$$

Here $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ comes from the exact sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \xrightarrow{(T,U)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1).$$

Note that $c(\nu) \rightarrow c(\mu)$ is 1-connective, or its cone sits in degree ≤ -2 , therefore we have a closed immersion:

$$(6) \quad h^1/h^0(c(\mu)^\vee) \rightarrow h^1/h^0(c(\nu)^\vee).$$

Recall [18, Proposition 1] says that

$$h^1/h^0(c(\mu)^\vee) \simeq N_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1} M_Y^\circ S,$$

so (6) embeds $N_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1} M_Y^\circ S$ into a vector bundle stack $h^1/h^0(c(\nu)^\vee)$.

Remark 2.12. When $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow S$ are regular closed embeddings, we obtained functoriality for Gysin pullbacks.

2.5. Excess intersection formula. Assume f is a closed imbedding and consider a POT $E^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_f$ for f . Since $h^0(E^\bullet) = h^0(\mathbb{L}_f) = 0$, we can assume $E^\bullet = E[1]$, where E is locally free sheaf.

Proposition 2.13. Assume f is a closed imbedding, $E[1] \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_f$ a POT, where E is a locally free sheaf. We have an excess intersection formula,

$$f^! f_* = \Lambda_{-1}(E)$$

Proof. Consider the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y. \end{array}$$

Use the fact that virtual pullbacks and push forwards commute. □

2.6. Remarks.

2.6.1. To define a virtual pullback on $f: X \rightarrow Y$, we have assumed Y is qcqs, When Y is only quasi-separated², but f is a composition of a map $\tilde{f}: X \rightarrow Z$ with Z quasi-compact, of finite type over k , and an étale map $j: Z \rightarrow Y$, then we can still define $\sigma_{\tilde{f}} \circ j^!: K_0(Y) \rightarrow K_0(C_f)$. Note that $C_{\tilde{f}} \simeq C_f$ follows from [4, Proposition 3.14].

Using functoriality, it is easy to check that the map $\sigma_{\tilde{f}} \circ j^!$ is independant of the factorization $f = j \circ \tilde{f}$, thus by abusing notation we denote the resulting pullback by σ_f .

Then one can define a pullback $f^!$ as before using σ_f , as σ_f is the composition of a flat pullback and a virtual pullback, it is straightforward to extend results in this section to this slightly more general situation.

2.6.2. Twisted virtual structure sheaves correspond to twisted virtual pullbacks of the form

$$\mathrm{id}_X^{\mathcal{P}^\bullet} \circ f^!$$

where \mathcal{P}^\bullet is a perfect complex on X , $\mathrm{id}_X^{\mathcal{P}^\bullet} \in \mathrm{op}K^0(X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X)$ the bivariant class induced by derived tensoring with \mathcal{P}^\bullet . Properties of twisted virtual pullbacks follow from those of virtual pullbacks.

3. A VIRTUAL LOCALIZATION FORMULA

The proof of the virtual localization formula in [13] can be streamlined using virtual pullbacks, and an optimal form is obtained in [5]. The arguments in [5, Section 3] can be used to prove the K -theoretic virtual localization formula conjectured in [9, Conjecture 7.2], the keypoint is that a modified POT of the fixed substack is compatible with the POT of the ambient stack, then the functoriality of virtual pullbacks gives the virtual localization formula.

Remark 3.1. The localization formula [6, Theorem 5.3.1] for dg-schemes is also proved by constructing a virtual pullback $\pi_0(i)^!$.

3.1. Notation and Conventions. We will use T to denote the torus \mathbb{C}^* .

²This is usually built into the definition of algebraic stacks in the literature.

3.1.1. A T -stack X is an algebraic stack X with a T action, a T -map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between T -stacks is a map that respects the T action on X and Y . We will denote X_T the quotient stack $[X/T]$, and π_X the quotient map $X \rightarrow X_T$. For a T -map f , we have an induced map $f_T: X_T \rightarrow Y_T$ between X_T and Y_T .

Remark 3.2. There is an equivalence between the 2-category of T -stacks and the 2-category of stacks over BT .

3.1.2. For a T -stack X , π_X^* induces an equivalence between the category of coherent sheaves on X_T and the category of T equivariant coherent sheaves on X is. A T -equivariant coherent sheaf F on X corresponds to a coherent sheaf F_T on X_T such that $F = \pi_X^*(F_T)$.

Denote by $K_0^T(X)$ the K group of equivariant coherent sheaves on X , with \mathbb{Q} coefficients. Via π_X^* , $K_0^T(X)$ is canonically isomorphic to $K_0(X_T)$.

It is easy to show $K_0^T(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]$, and $K_0^T(X)$ is a $K_0^T(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C})$ module as X_T is a stack over BT .

Recall $\Lambda_{-1}: K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(X)$ is given by $[V] \rightarrow \sum_i (-1)^i [\Lambda^i V]$. Its equivariant version $\Lambda_{-1}^T: K^T(X) \rightarrow K^T(X)$ is simply defined as $\Lambda_{-1}: K_0(X_T) \rightarrow K_0(X_T)$.

3.1.3. Given a T -map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, a T -equivariant POT $\phi: E^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_f$ for f can be identified with a POT for f_T given by $\phi_T: E_T^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{f_T}^\bullet$. The virtual structure sheaves $\mathcal{O}_\phi \in K_0^T(X)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\phi_T} \in K_0(X_T)$ are related by $\mathcal{O}_\phi = \pi_X^*(\mathcal{O}_{\phi_T})$, and therefore can be identified via $\pi_X^*: K_0(X_T) \rightarrow K_0^T(X)$.

3.1.4. Let X be T stack, DM and of finite type over \mathbb{C} , X^T its fixed substack, we will use $i: X^T \rightarrow X$ to denote the inclusion of X^T as a substack.

Let $\phi: E^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_X^\bullet$ be a T -equivariant POT for X . We have a decomposition

$$i^* E^\bullet = (i^* E^\bullet)^{\text{fix}} \oplus (i^* E^\bullet)^{\text{mov}}$$

of $i^* E^\bullet$ into its fixed and moving parts, which come from T -eigensheaves of $i^* E^\bullet$ with zero and nonzero weights respectively.

We have an induced (T -equivariant) POT for X^T :

$$\phi^T: (i^* E^\bullet)^{\text{fix}} \rightarrow (i^* \mathbb{L}_X)^{\text{fix}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{X^T}.$$

(See [13, Proposition 1] and [5, Lemma 3.2].)

3.2. A Virtual Localization Formula.

Theorem 3.3. Assume X is a scheme of finite type over \mathbb{C} with a T action, and

$$i: X^T \rightarrow X$$

the inclusion of the T fixed loci. Let $\phi: E^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_X^\bullet$ be a T -equivariant POT. Assume $N^{\text{vir}^\vee} = (i^* E^\bullet)^{\text{mov}}$ has a global resolution $N^{-1} \rightarrow N^0$ by locally free sheaves on X^T .

Under these assumptions, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{vir}} = i_{T*} \left(\frac{\mathcal{O}_{X^T}^{\text{vir}}}{\Lambda_{-1}^T([N^{\text{vir}^\vee}])} \right)$$

in $K_0^T(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]} \mathbb{Q}(t)$.

Here $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{vir}} := \mathcal{O}_\phi$, $\mathcal{O}_{X^T}^{\text{vir}} := \mathcal{O}_{\phi^T}$, $[N^{\text{vir}^\vee}] = [N^0] - [N^{-1}]$ in $K_0^T(X^T)$.

Proof. If we modify the POT for X^T to

$$\widetilde{\phi}^T: (i^*E^\bullet)^{\text{fix}} \oplus N^{-1}[1] \rightarrow (i^*E^\bullet)^{\text{fix}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{X^T},$$

then we have a compatible triple between POTs

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} i^*E^\bullet & \longrightarrow & (i^*E^\bullet)^{\text{fix}} \oplus N^{-1}[1] & \longrightarrow & N^0[1] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ i^*\mathbb{L}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{X^T} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_i, \end{array}$$

here the first row is the direct sum of $(i^*E^\bullet)^{\text{fix}} \rightarrow (i^*E^\bullet)^{\text{fix}} \rightarrow 0$ and $(i^*E^\bullet)^{\text{mov}} \rightarrow N^{-1}[1] \rightarrow N^0[1]$.

Let $i_T^!$ be the virtual pullback induced by $N^0[1] \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_i$.

By Proposition 2.10, we have

$$i_T^! \mathcal{O}_\phi = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\phi}^T}.$$

Since

$$i_{T*}: K_0^T(X^T) \rightarrow K_0^T(X)$$

as a map between $K_0^T(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C})$ module becomes an isomorphism after tensoring with $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ by, e.g., [8, Theorem 3.3 (a)], we see that $\frac{i_T^!}{\Lambda_{-1}^T(N^0)}$ is an inverse to i_{T*}

by Proposition 2.13.

By Lemma 3.6 below,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\phi}^T} = \Lambda_{-1}^T(N^{-1}) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\phi^T}$$

Combine the results above, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_\phi &= i_{T*} \left(\frac{i_T^! \mathcal{O}_\phi}{\Lambda_{-1}^T(N^0)} \right) \\ &= i_{T*} \left(\frac{\Lambda_{-1}^T(N^{-1}) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\phi^T}}{\Lambda_{-1}^T(N^0)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and this is the same as

$$\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{vir}} = i_{T*} \left(\frac{\mathcal{O}_{X^T}^{\text{vir}}}{\Lambda_{-1}^T([N^{\text{vir}}])} \right).$$

□

Remark 3.4. For a T scheme X , if L is a line bundle over X^T of nonzero weight k , then $\Lambda_{-1}^T(L) = 1 - t^k L$ is invertible in $K(X^T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(t)$. As $1 - t^k L = 1 - t^k - (L - 1)t^k$, $1 - t^k$ is invertible, and $L - 1$ is nilpotent.

Remark 3.5. Let U be the complement of X^T in X . To extend the formula to DM stacks, what we need is $K_0^T(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]} \mathbb{Q}(t) = 0$. (Or some other ring in place of $\mathbb{Q}(t)$.) This is certainly true with enough hypotheses. For example, if Riemann-Roch holds for $[U/T]$, giving an isomorphism between $K_0^T(U)$ and $A_*(I[U/T])$, the Chow group of the inertia stack of $[U/T]$, then $1 - t$ is nilpotent on $K_0^T(U)$, as the Chern character of $1 - t$ is nilpotent on $A_*(I[U/T])$.

Lemma 3.6 (cf. [13, Lemma 1]). *Given a POT $\psi : F^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_f$ for a DM morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and a locally free sheaf E over X , the map $\psi' : F^\bullet \oplus E[1] \rightarrow F^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_f$ induces a POT for f , here $F^\bullet \oplus E[1] \rightarrow F^\bullet$ is projection onto the first factor. The two virtual structure sheaves are related by*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\psi'} = \Lambda_{-1}(E) \cdot \mathcal{O}_\psi.$$

Proof. Let C_f be the intrinsic normal cone of X , $C(E) = \text{SpecSym } E$ the cone associated with E , \mathfrak{F} the vector bundle stack $h^1/h^0(F^{\bullet\vee})$ associate to F^\bullet . Then the closed imbedding $C_f \rightarrow \mathfrak{F} \times_X C(E)$ induced by ψ' is the composition of the closed imbedding $C_f \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$ induced by ψ and the closed embedding $\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F} \times_X C(E)$ induced by the zero section $X \rightarrow C(E)$. Consider the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{0_E} & C(E) \\ \downarrow 0_{\mathfrak{F}} & & \downarrow 0'_{\mathfrak{F}} \\ \mathfrak{F} & \xrightarrow{0'_E} & \mathfrak{F} \times_X C(E) \end{array}$$

By definition, we have $\mathcal{O}_\psi = 0_{\mathfrak{F}}^!(\mathcal{O}_{C_f})$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\psi'} = (0_{\mathfrak{F}'} \circ 0_E)^!(0'_E)_*(\mathcal{O}_{C_f})$. Here $0_{\mathfrak{F}}^!$ and $(0_{\mathfrak{F}'} \circ 0_E)^!$ are Gysin pullbacks along the zero section of $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$ and $0_{\mathfrak{F}'} \circ 0_E : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{F} \times_X C(E)$ respectively. As

$$(0_{\mathfrak{F}'} \circ 0_E)^! = (0_E)^! \circ 0_{\mathfrak{F}'}^!, \text{ and } 0_{\mathfrak{F}'}^! \circ (0'_E)_* = (0_E)_* \circ 0_{\mathfrak{F}}^!,$$

we see that

$$\mathcal{O}_{\psi'} = (0_E)^! \circ 0_{\mathfrak{F}'}^! \circ (0'_E)_*(\mathcal{O}_{C_f}) = (0_E)^! \circ (0_E)_* \circ 0_{\mathfrak{F}}^!(\mathcal{O}_{C_f}) = \Lambda_{-1}(E) \cdot \mathcal{O}_\psi.$$

□

4. A DEGENERATION FORMULA IN DT THEORY

In this section, the base field k is \mathbb{C} , the field of complex numbers.

It is straightforward to adapt the arguments in [24, 26] to write down a degeneration formula in DT theory. The difference between the K -theoretic version and the Chow version comes from formal group laws, and this is the content of [22, Lemma 3].

4.1. Setup. We recall the setup in [24].

4.1.1. Simple degenerations. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow C$ be a projective morphism from a smooth variety X to a smooth pointed curve $(C, 0)$ such that fibers outside 0 are smooth, and the fiber over 0, X_0 , is a pushout $Y_+ \amalg_D Y_-$, where Y_+, Y_- are smooth varieties, and D is a connected smooth divisor in both Y_+ and Y_- . We will denote D by D_+ or D_- when it is viewed as a divisor in Y_+ or Y_- .

Let N_+ be the normal bundle of D_+ in Y_+ , and $\Delta = \mathbb{P}_D(N_+ \oplus \mathcal{O})$. Denote the zero and infinity section of Δ by D_+ and D_- respectively, so that the normal bundle $N_{D_+/\Delta} = N_+$.

Remark 4.1. Let N_- be the normal bundle of D_- in Y_- , then $N_+ \otimes N_- \simeq \mathcal{O}_D$. In order to define Δ the choice of N_+ or N_- doesn't matter. In fact, it is enough to start with X_0 as a pushout $Y_+ \amalg_D Y_-$ assuming $N_{D/Y_+} \otimes N_{D/Y_-} \simeq \mathcal{O}_D$.

4.1.2. *Expanded degenerations.* Expanded degenerations are introduced in [23], see [14, Section 2.5] for non-rigid expansions or rubbers. An extensive discussion can be found in [2].

We recall expanded degenerations associated to $X \rightarrow C$, relative pairs (Y_{\pm}, D_{\pm}) , and non-rigid expansions of (D, N_+) , which will be denoted by $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$, $(\mathfrak{Y}_-, \mathfrak{D}_-) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$, $(\mathfrak{D}_+, \mathfrak{Y}_+) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$, and $(\mathfrak{D}_+, \mathfrak{Y}_{\sim}, \mathfrak{D}_-) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\sim}$. Expansions of X_0 is given by $\mathfrak{X}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_0$, the fiber of $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ over $0 \in C$.

Remark 4.2. $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_{\sim}$ are the same as those in [14, 2]. Note that \mathfrak{C}_0 is independent of \mathfrak{C} , it is the same as \mathfrak{T}_0 in [2].

We have the universal family $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ of expanded degenerations associated to the family $\pi: X \rightarrow C$, its singular fibers are expansions of X_0 of the form $X_0[n]$, where

$$X_0[n] = Y_- \coprod_{D_- = D_+} \Delta_1 \coprod_{D_- = D_+} \cdots \Delta_n \coprod_{D_- = D_+} Y_+$$

and Δ_i are copies of Δ . There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X} & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{C} & \longrightarrow & C \end{array}$$

that is an isomorphism on smooth fibers and on singular fibers contracts the Δ_i in $X_0[n]$.

For the relative pair (Y_-, D_-) and (Y_+, D_+) , the universal families of expanded degenerations are denoted $(\mathfrak{Y}_-, \mathfrak{D}_-) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ and $(\mathfrak{D}_+, \mathfrak{Y}_+) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ respectively. Recall an expansion of (Y_-, D_-) is of the form

$$(Y_-[n], D_-[n]) = Y_- \coprod_{D_- = D_+} \Delta_1 \coprod_{D_- = D_+} \cdots \Delta_n.$$

where $D_-[n]$ is D_- in Δ_n . We have commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathfrak{Y}_{\pm}, \mathfrak{D}_{\pm}) & \longrightarrow & (Y_{\pm}, D_{\pm}) \\ \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{T} & & \end{array},$$

where $\mathfrak{D}_{\pm} \simeq \mathcal{T} \times D_{\pm}$ over \mathcal{T} , fiberwise Δ_i are contracted.

Remark 4.3. Notationwise, \mathfrak{A}_0 in [24] is $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}$, so $(\mathfrak{Y}_{\pm}, \mathfrak{D}_{\pm})$ defined here differ from those defined in [24] by a factor of \mathcal{T} .

We also need the family $(\mathfrak{D}_+, \mathfrak{Y}_{\sim}, \mathfrak{D}_-) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\sim}$ of nonrigid expanded degeneration associated to the pair (D, N_+) , fibers are of the form

$$(D_+, \Delta[n]_{\sim}, D_-) = \Delta_1 \coprod_{D_- = D_+} \cdots \coprod_{D_- = D_+} \Delta_n.$$

where D_+, D_- in $\Delta[n]_{\sim}$ comes from Δ_1, Δ_n respectively. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathfrak{D}_+, \mathfrak{Y}_{\sim}, \mathfrak{D}_-) & \longrightarrow & D \\ \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{T}_{\sim} & & \end{array}$$

is given fiberwise by projections $\Delta_i \rightarrow D$.

Remark 4.4. The stacks \mathcal{T} , \mathcal{T}_\sim , and \mathcal{C}_0 , the fiber of $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow C$ over 0, are algebraic stacks, having quasi-compact, separated diagonals, locally of finite type over k .

4.1.3. Moduli spaces of admissible ideal sheaves. Let H be a π -ample line bundle on X . We will consider moduli spaces of admissible ideal sheaves³ with finite automorphism groups on expanded degenerations, denoted in the form $\mathcal{M}_\#^P$, where superscripts record Hilbert polynomials, and the subscript indicates the family over which the moduli space is considered.

Remark 4.5. See ([24, Section 3]) for discussions on admissibility.

For the family $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, as it is representable by a projective morphism, we know the Hilbert scheme of this family with Hilbert Polynomial P (with respect to the pullback of H to \mathfrak{X}) is an algebraic stack projective over \mathcal{C} , the intersection of its maximal open DM substack and its open substack of admissible ideal sheaves is denoted by \mathcal{M}^P .

Similarly, we have \mathcal{M}_-^P , \mathcal{M}_\sim^P , \mathcal{M}_+^P , all these stacks are proper by [24, Theorem 4.14, 4.15].

The fiber of \mathcal{M}^P over $0 \in C$ is denote by \mathcal{M}_0^P , it is the moduli space of admissible ideal sheaves on $\mathfrak{X}_0/\mathcal{C}_0$ with finite automorphism groups.

From now on we will be only interested in the case when $\deg P = 1$.

Restricting to the divisor \mathfrak{D}_- of $(\mathfrak{Y}_-, \mathfrak{D}_-)$ induces an evaluation map

$$\text{ev}_- : \mathcal{M}_-^P \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_D.$$

Here $\text{Hilb}_D = \coprod_n \text{Hilb}_D^n$ is the Hilbert scheme of points on D .

Similarly, we have

$$\text{ev}_+ : \mathcal{M}_+^P \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_D$$

and

$$(\text{ev}_+^\sim, \text{ev}_-^\sim) : \mathcal{M}_\sim \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_D \times \text{Hilb}_D.$$

Given a degree zero polynomial Q_- , denote by \mathcal{M}_-^{P, Q_-} the preimage of $\text{Hilb}_D^{Q_-}$ under ev_- , where $\text{Hilb}_D^{Q_-}$ is the open and closed scheme of Hilb_D parametrizing ideal sheaves with Hilbert polynomial Q_- . Similarly, we have $\mathcal{M}_+^{Q_+, P}$, $\mathcal{M}_\sim^{Q_+, P, Q_-}$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_0 = \coprod_{\deg P=1} \mathcal{M}_0^P$ be the disjoint union, and similarly we have $\mathcal{M}_-, \mathcal{M}_\sim, \mathcal{M}_+$.

4.1.4. Perfect obstruction theories. Now we need to assume $\pi : X \rightarrow C$ is a family of 3-folds to ensure higher obstruction groups vanish so that we have perfect obstruction theories.

Consider the family $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, we have the moduli space $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of admissible ideal sheaves. Denote by $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathfrak{X}}$ its universal ideal sheaf. The dual of the perfect obstruction theory is given by

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow R\pi_{\mathcal{M}*} R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})_0[1]$$

which is induced by the Atiyah class of \mathcal{I} , where $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{C}} = \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{C}}^\vee$ is the tangent complex of $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the projection $\mathcal{M} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. (See [17, Section 4], [24, Propostion 6.1].)

³ Rank 1 torsion free sheaves with trivial determinants.

POTs for $\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{M}_-, \mathcal{M}_\sim, \mathcal{M}_+$ are defined in the same way. We will use $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_0}^{\text{vir}}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_-}^{\text{vir}}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\sim}^{\text{vir}}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_+}^{\text{vir}}$ to denote their corresponding virtual structure sheaves.

Remark 4.6. The tangent-obstruction complex is given by $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{H}\text{om}(I, I)_0[1]$ at an ideal sheaf I . On the smooth scheme Hilb_D , $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{H}\text{om}(I, I)_0[1]$ is quasi-isomorphic to the tangent space of I in Hilb_D ([24, p.912]).

4.1.5. *Decomposition of \mathcal{M}_0^P .* There is a natural map

$$\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_0$$

that pointwise corresponds to gluing $Y_-[n]$ and $Y_+[m]$ to

$$X_0[n+m] \simeq Y_-[n] \coprod_{D_-[n]=D_+[m]} Y_+[m].$$

Similarly, we have maps

$$\text{gl}_k: \mathcal{T} \times \underbrace{\mathcal{T}_\sim \times \cdots \times \mathcal{T}_\sim}_{k \text{ factors}} \times \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_0$$

that glue expansions of Y_\pm and nonrigid expansions of (D, N_+) to expansions of X_0 .

Remark 4.7. Smooth locally, \mathfrak{C}_0 is given by the simple normal crossing divisor $\cup_{i=1}^n D_i$ in $\mathbb{A}^n = \text{Spec} k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$, where D_i is the smooth divisor (x_i) . The map gl_k is then given by

$$\coprod_{J, |J|=k+1} \cap_{j \in J} D_j \rightarrow \cup D_i \subset \mathbb{A}^n.$$

In particular, the maps $\text{gl}_k, k \geq 0$ are representable and finite.

It follows from the definition of fiber product that the diagram

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_- \times_{\text{Hilb}_D} \mathcal{M}_\sim \times_{\text{Hilb}_D} \cdots \mathcal{M}_\sim \times_{\text{Hilb}_D} \mathcal{M}_+ & \xrightarrow{\iota_k} & \mathcal{M}_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}_\sim \times \cdots \times \mathcal{T}_\sim \times \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{\text{gl}_k} & \mathfrak{C}_0 \end{array}$$

is cartesian. For example, when $k = 1$, the fiber product is given by the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathcal{M}_+ \\ & & \downarrow \text{ev}_+ \\ \mathcal{M}_\sim & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_\sim^-} & \text{Hilb}_D \\ \downarrow \text{ev}_+^\sim & & \\ \mathcal{M}_- & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_-} & \text{Hilb}_D. \end{array}$$

For ease of notation, we abbreviate $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}_\sim \times \cdots \times \mathcal{T}_\sim \times \mathcal{T}$ to $\mathfrak{C}_0[k]$ and $\mathcal{M}_- \times_{\text{Hilb}_D} \mathcal{M}_\sim \times_{\text{Hilb}_D} \cdots \mathcal{M}_\sim \times_{\text{Hilb}_D} \mathcal{M}_+$ to $\mathcal{M}_0[k]$.

If we use \mathcal{M}_0^P in place of \mathcal{M}_0 , then the fiber product is the disjoint union of

$$\mathcal{M}_-^{P_0, Q_0} \times_{\text{Hilb}_D^{Q_0}} \mathcal{M}_\sim^{Q_0, P_1, Q_1} \times_{\text{Hilb}_D^{Q_1}} \cdots \mathcal{M}_\sim^{Q_{k-1}, P_k, Q_k} \times_{\text{Hilb}_D^{Q_k}} \mathcal{M}_+^{Q_k, P_\infty}$$

over all $(P_0, P_1, \dots, P_k, Q_0, \dots, Q_k, P_\infty)$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^k P_i - \sum_{j=0}^k Q_j + P_\infty = P$.

For a tuple $\delta = (P_0, P_1, \dots, P_k, Q_0, \dots, Q_k, P_\infty)$, let

$$k(\delta) = k,$$

and

$$P(\delta) = \sum_{i=0}^k P_i - \sum_{j=0}^k Q_j + P_\infty.$$

We denote the module space

$$\mathcal{M}_-^{P_0, Q_0} \times_{\text{Hilb}_D^{Q_0}} \mathcal{M}_{\sim}^{Q_0, P_1, Q_1} \times_{\text{Hilb}_D^{Q_1}} \dots \mathcal{M}_{\sim}^{Q_{k-1}, P_k, Q_k} \times_{\text{Hilb}_D^{Q_k}} \mathcal{M}_+^{Q_k, P_\infty}$$

by \mathcal{M}_δ and the gluing map

$$\mathcal{M}_\delta \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0^P.$$

by ι_δ .

Remark 4.8. Given P there are only finitely many δ such that $\delta(P) = P$ and \mathcal{M}_δ is nonempty.

4.2. A Degeneration Formula. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_0[k] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_- \times \underbrace{\mathcal{M}_{\sim} \times \dots \times \mathcal{M}_{\sim}}_{k \text{ factors}} \times \mathcal{M}_+ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hilb}_D^{\times k} & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\text{Hilb}_D}^{\times k}} & (\text{Hilb}_D \times \text{Hilb}_D)^{\times k}. \end{array}$$

Recall

$$\mathcal{M}_0[k] = \coprod_{\delta, k(\delta)=k} \mathcal{M}_\delta,$$

denote the component of the Gysin pullback

$$(\Delta_{\text{Hilb}_D}^{\times k})^! (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_-}^{\text{vir}} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\sim}}^{\text{vir}} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\sim}}^{\text{vir}} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_+}^{\text{vir}})$$

on \mathcal{M}_δ by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\delta}^{\text{vir}}$, where

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_0[k] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{C}_0[k] & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}_0, \end{array}$$

where $k \geq 0$. The POT of $\mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_0$ induces a virtual pullback, and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_0}^{\text{vir}}$ is obtained by pulling back $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{C}_0}$.

The degeneration formula is

Theorem 4.9. *Let $X \rightarrow C$ be a simple degeneration of 3 folds, P a degree 1 polynomial, For any $\delta = (P_0, P_1, \dots, P_k, Q_0, \dots, Q_k, P_\infty)$ satisfying $\delta(P) = P$ and \mathcal{M}_δ nonempty, let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\delta}^{\text{vir}} \in K_0(\mathcal{M}_\delta)$ be*

$$(\Delta_{\text{Hilb}_D}^{\times k})^! (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_-^{P_0, Q_0}}^{\text{vir}} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\sim}^{Q_0, P_1, Q_1}}^{\text{vir}} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\sim}^{Q_{k-1}, P_k, Q_k}}^{\text{vir}} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_+^{Q_k, P_\infty}}^{\text{vir}}),$$

then we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\delta \\ P(\delta)=P \\ k(\delta)=k}} (-1)^k (\iota_{\delta})_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\delta}}^{\text{vir}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_0^P}^{\text{vir}}$$

in $K_0(\mathcal{M}_0^P)$. Note that by the boundedness of \mathcal{M}_0^P , the left hand side is a finite sum.

Proof. It follows from the arguments in [24, Proposition 6.5], [26, 3.9] and the functoriality of virtual pullbacks that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_0[k]}^{\text{vir}} = \coprod \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\delta}}^{\text{vir}}$ can be identified with the virtual pullback of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{C}_0[k]}$.

By [22, Lemma 3], we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (\text{gl}_k)_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{C}_0[k]} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{C}_0}.$$

Then by commutativity between virtual pullbacks and proper pushforwards, the theorem is proved. \square

Remark 4.10. We have deformation invariance for the family $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{C}}$. Denote by i_c the regular imbedding of the closed point c to C , and form a cartesian diagram as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{M}_t^P & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}_c & \longrightarrow & c \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow i_c \\ \mathcal{M}^P & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C} & \longrightarrow & C. \end{array}$$

Then

$$i_c^! \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}^P}^{\text{vir}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_c^P}^{\text{vir}}.$$

For $c \neq 0$, \mathfrak{C}_c is a point, and \mathcal{M}_c^P is the DT moduli space of ideal sheaves on the smooth 3 fold X_c with virtual structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_c^P}^{\text{vir}}$.

Remark 4.11. As \mathfrak{C}_0 is not quasi-compact, we need to use virtual pullbacks explained in subsubsection 2.6.1. This is possible because \mathcal{M}_0^P is bounded.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Abramovich, M. Olsson, A. Vistoli, *Twisted stable maps to tame artin stacks*, J. Algebraic Geom. **20** (2011), no.3, 399-477.
- [2] D. Abramovich, C. Cadman, B. Fantechi, J. Wise, *Expanded degenerations and pairs*, Comm. Algebra **41** (2013), no. 6, 2346-2386.
- [3] D. Anderson, S. Payne, *Operational K-theory*, Doc. Math. **20** (2015), 357-399.
- [4] K. Behrend, B. Fantechi, *The intrinsic normal cone*. Invent. Math. **127**, (1997), no. 1, 45-88.
- [5] H.-L. Chang, Y.-H. Kiem, J. Li, *Torus localization and wall crossing for cosection localized virtual cycles*, arXiv:1502.00078v1.
- [6] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, M. Kapranov, *Virtual fundamental classes via dg-manifolds*, Geom. Topol. **13** (2009), no. 3, 1779-1804.
- [7] N. Chriss, V. Ginzburg, *Representation Theory and Complex Geometry*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997.
- [8] D. Edidin, W. Graham, *Nonabelian localization in equivariant K-theory and Riemann-Roch for quotients* Adv. Math. **198** (2005), no. 2, 547-582.
- [9] B. Fantechi, L. Göttsche, *Riemann-Roch theorems and elliptic genus for virtually smooth schemes*, Geom. Topol. **14** (2010), 83-115.
- [10] G. Faltings, *Finiteness of coherent cohomology for proper fppf stacks*, J. Algebraic Geom. **12** (2003), no. 2, 357-366.
- [11] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

- [12] A. Givental, *On the WDVV equation in quantum K-theory*, Michigan Math. J. **48** (2000), 295-304.
- [13] T. Graber, R. Pandharipande, *Localization of virtual classes*, Invent. Math. **135** (1999) 487-136.
- [14] T. Graber, R. Vakil, *Relative virtual localization and vanishing of tautological classes on moduli spaces of curves*, Duke Math. J. **130** (2005), no. 1, 1-37.
- [15] J. Hall, D. Rydh, *Algebraic groups and compact generation of their derived categories of representations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **64** (2015), 1925-1958.
- [16] J. Hall, D. Rydh, *Perfect complexes on algebraic stacks*, arXiv:1405.18807v2.
- [17] D. Huybrechts, R. Thomas, *Deformation-obstruction theory for complexes via Atiyah and Kodaira-Spencer classes*, Math. Ann. **346** (2010), no. 3, 545-569.
- [18] B. Kim, A. Kresch and T. Pantev, *Functoriality in intersection theory and a conjecture of Cox, Katz and Lee*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra **179** (2003), no. 1-2, 127-146.
- [19] A. Kresch, *Canonical rational equivalence of intersections of divisors*, Invent. Math. **136** (1999), no. 3, 438-496.
- [20] A. Kresch, *Cycle groups for Artin stacks*, Invent. Math. **138** (1999), no. 3, 495-536.
- [21] G. Laumon, L. Moret-Bailly, *Champs algébriques*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [22] Y. P. Lee, *Quantum K-Theory, I: Foundation*, Duke Math. J. **121** (2004), no. 3, 389-424.
- [23] J. Li, *Stable morphisms to singular schemes and relative stable morphisms*, J. Differential Geom. **57** (2001), no. 3, 509-578.
- [24] J. Li, B. Wu, *Good degeneration of Quot-schemes and coherent systems*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **23** (2015), no. 4, 841-921.
- [25] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, *Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory. II*, Compos. Math. **142** (2006), no. 5, 1286-1304.
- [26] D. Maulik, R. Pandharipande, R. Thomas, *Curves on K3 surfaces and modular forms, With an appendix by A. Pixton*, J. Topol. **3** (2010), no. 4, 937-996.
- [27] C. Manolache, *Virtual pull-backs*, J. Algebraic Geom. **21** (2012), no. 2, 201-245.
- [28] A. Okounkov, *Lectures on K-theoretic computations in enumerative geometry*, arXiv:1512.07363v1.
- [29] M. Olsson, *Sheaves on Artin stacks*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **603** (2007), 55-112.
- [30] M. Olsson, *On proper coverings of Artin stacks*, Adv. Math. **198** (2005), no. 1, 93-106.
- [31] F. Poma, *Virtual classes for Artin stacks*, Manuscripta Math. **146** (1) (2015), 107-123.
- [32] F. Qu, *Deformation to the normal cone for algebraic stacks*, in preparation.
- [33] M. Romagny, *Group actions on stacks and applications*, Michigan Math. J. **53** (2005), no. 1, 209-236.
- [34] The Stacks Project authors, *The Stacks Project*.